

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF WEST JORDAN
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Wednesday, October 23, 2019

5:30 p.m.

Council Chambers

8000 South Redwood Road

West Jordan, Utah 84088

COUNCIL: Mayor Jim Riding, Councilmembers Alan Anderson, Dirk Burton, Zach Jacob, Chad R. Lamb, Chris McConnehey and Kayleen Whitelock

STAFF: David R. Brickey, City Manager; Rob Wall, City Attorney; Scott Langford, Community Development Director; Danyce Steck, Finance Director; Brock Hudson, Community Preservation Director; Brian Clegg, Public Works Director; Derek Maxfield, Fire Chief; Travis Rees, Deputy Police Chief; Duncan Murray, Assistant City Attorney; Larry Gardner, City Planner; Kent Page, Senior Planner; Jared Smith, Risk Manager; Rachel Mackay, I.T. Administrative Assistant, and Jamie Brooks, Interim City Clerk

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Riding called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Joylynn Bennett.

III. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Linda Johnson, West Jordan resident, expressed concern about the impact of increased water rates on residents. She said West Jordan City was growing fast and should have had enough money to cover water needs without such high water rate increases.

There was no one else who wished to speak.

IV. COMMUNICATIONS

CITY MANAGER & STAFF COMMENTS/REPORTS

David Brickey-

- Explained that staff members had no comments.

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS/REPORTS

Councilmember Whitelock –

- Expressed appreciation to the Sugar Factory Playhouse for their recent production.
- Encouraged citizens to pay attention to tax issues under consideration by the State Legislature.

Councilmember Lamb –

- Expressed appreciation to Brian Clegg and his staff at Public Works for their efforts in getting the Wild West Jordan Playground open. He said it had already been used by many children.

Councilmember Burton –

- Expressed appreciation to the Fire Department for having displayed their new apparatus prior to the meeting.

Councilmember McConnehey-

- Explained that he missed the previous meeting due to the birth of his son the night before.
- Thanked Councilmember Lamb for input that resulted in an improved Wild West Jordan Playground.
- Expressed concern that the City was not listed as a sponsor for the recent Pumpkin Walk, and said he hoped that the City could work with the museum to remedy that for future events.

Mayor Riding-

- Echoed the Council’s appreciation for the new Wild West Jordan Playground.

V. PUBLIC HEARINGS

RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION ORDINANCE 19-29, AMENDING THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE MAP FOR 10.02 ACRES OF PROPERTY FROM VERY LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL TO LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AND REZONE FROM RR-1D (RURAL RESIDENTIAL 1-ACRE LOT MINIMUM) ZONE TO R-1-12F (SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL 12,000 SQUARE FOOT LOTS) ZONE FOR BARBER PROPERTY LOCATED AT 7401 SOUTH 5490 WEST; PETERSON DEVELOPMENT, LLC/RYAN PETERSON, APPLICANT

Mayor Riding explained that the applicant asked that this item be pulled from the agenda and moved to November 19th, but the Council wanted to hear from those members of the public who were present to speak on the matter. Staff stated that information in the staff report for the meeting was accurate and could be discussed.

Councilmember Anderson asked how the project could be “less dense” as stated in the staff report when it was apparently being increased from 18 units to 19 units. Kent Page clarified that the statement referred to zoning, not necessarily the number of units. Councilmember Anderson said he did not feel that made sense.

Responding to Councilmember Whitelock, staff confirmed that the public would be invited to speak again when the matter was put on a future agenda. Councilmember McConnehey asked that when the developer presented the matter to the Council in the future, any changes should be clearly identified.

Mayor Riding asked Chief Maxfield to address the single access point of entry. Chief Maxfield stated that improvements to the plan would be needed to meet City Code. The required number of access points in a development was dependent on distance to the nearest fire station and response time. Chief Maxfield said the Fire Marshall indicated he would require a second access point.

Councilmember McConnehey pointed out that the public hearing was to address only the zoning and the future land use map. Scott Langford agreed.

Mayor Riding opened the public hearing.

Michelle Densley, West Jordan resident, said Peterson Development had not been forthcoming with the residents in the area. She said she had built on a private road for a reason and was concerned that a second access point was not included in the proposed plans. Ms. Densley also expressed concern about the small lot size that was being proposed.

Joan Cahoon, West Jordan resident, said this development would abut her property. She indicated that her road currently only had one access. She felt the current proposal should be scrapped entirely until the full impact of Peterson's other project (7800 South) was known.

Tanya Sestak, West Jordan resident, said her family moved to the private road with larger lots to enjoy more space and less traffic. She said the small lots in the proposed development did not fit with the surrounding larger lots.

Lisa Blank, West Jordan resident, said the proposed development was adjacent to the rear of her property. She said Peterson Development indicated at the last public hearing that they believed smaller lots would be more desirable. Ms. Blank disagreed and stated that larger lots with animal rights would sell quickly. She said Peterson Development indicated they wanted to use the area as a transition between the animal properties and higher density housing. She suggested that 5600 West would be a better location for a buffer zone. Ms. Blank pointed out that West Jordan was founded on agricultural roots and that most horse boarding facilities in the valley were full and had waiting lists. She encouraged the City Council to keep the subject area zoned for animals.

Tiffany Ostrander, West Jordan resident, commented that none of the lots in the proposed development were zoned for animal use. She suggested that low-density and very-low-density did not make great neighbors. Ms. Ostrander said she hoped the City would consider the ramifications of the proposed development and stick with one-acre lots, which were valuable to the City.

Spencer Burton, West Jordan resident, said he understood that development would happen when he bought his property. However, he said he was concerned that the current proposal did not meet the Fire Code. He asked that the Council make sure the developer met all requirements before approving a rezone.

Brett Burgon, West Jordan resident, stated that residents changed plans and put things on hold to attend the meeting, and the developer once again did not show up. Mr. Burgon said it was a waste of time to continue scheduling public hearings that the developer was not going to show up for. He said he had a one-acre horse property and wanted the lots behind his property to be half-acre horse properties.

Bonnie Cardenas, West Jordan resident, said she and her husband were interested in purchasing property in the subject area, but the possibility of the proposed low-density development was making them reconsider. She understood the concerns expressed by the neighboring property owners, and said she thought the Council should reconsider the low density.

Shayn Bowler, West Jordan resident and business owner, said the buffering that had taken place in the area was backwards. He said it would be unwise to approve zoning that did not have appropriate access.

Randy Bowler, West Jordan resident and business owner, expressed appreciation to the City Council for their work. As a developer himself, he said he knew zoning was only the first step in the development process. He asked that the City Council look down the development pathway and anticipate issues that would need to be addressed. Mr. Bowler said he hoped the Council would not send the message that a developer could move forward without resolving these difficult issues.

Mayor Riding closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Burton inquired if the City had considered purchasing the private street as suggested previously by residents. Mr. Langford responded that 5490 West had been designated a collector road in the City Transportation Master Plan for several years. It was the City's intention to eventually make 5490 West a public right-of-way. Mr. Langford also said that the original Home Owner's Association Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions mentioned the possibility or assumption that the private lane would eventually be turned over and made a public road. However, the City was not currently pursuing that course of action.

Responding to a question from Councilmember McConnehey, staff stated the applicant was charged for all noticing costs associated with the agenda item. Councilmember McConnehey expressed frustration that a third party was able to put an item on the agenda and then pull it at the last minute. He pointed out that many of the citizens present were genuinely invested in the community and expressed appreciation to those who took time to appear at the meeting and express their views.

Councilmember Jacob emphasized that it was not a waste of the residents' time to attend and speak, despite the developer's absence. He said the Council heard and were grateful for the comments. The Councilmember also asked staff to find out how many homes in the City were grandfathered into the four-minute fire-response rule and were outside that limit in order to see if a new fire station was needed. He believed that if the developer sat down with the residents and spoke directly with them, progress could be made.

Councilmember Anderson said he agreed with much of what Councilmember McConnehey had said. He asked if the City had a provision that would require individuals purchasing property in an area where animals were allowed to sign a document acknowledging that they understood at the time of purchase that animals would be present. Mr. Wall responded that a typical title report would contain language stating that a property would be subject to the existing ordinances and laws in place at the time of closing. In an HOA situation, CC&Rs would be noted on the title report.

MOTION: Councilmember McConnehey moved to continue the public hearing to November 19, 2019. Councilmember Lamb seconded the motion.

Mr. Wall clarified that the continued public hearing would not be re-noticed. Councilmember Jacob said he wished to close the public hearing and re-notice a new public hearing with a new staff report. Councilmembers Whitelock and McConnehey agreed.

Councilmember McConnehey withdrew his motion.

MOTION: Councilmember McConnehey moved to close the public hearing. Councilmember Whitelock seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

**RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL
ORDINANCE 19-30, AMENDING THE 2009 WEST JORDAN
MUNICIPAL CODE SECTIONS 12-1-4 “DEFINITIONS” and 12-23-3
“SIGN PERMITS,” CITY-WIDE APPLICABILITY, CITY OF WEST
JORDAN (APPLICANT)**

Larry Gardner explained that the proposed ordinance would add the definition and noticing requirements for Unified Commercial Development signs. The Utah Legislature passed a statute that had requirements for a specific sign type that could affect the City. The proposed ordinance amendment would keep the City in compliance with State law. Two definitions and a noticing requirement were proposed. The proposed definitions added to 2009 City Code were:

12-1-4 UNIFIED COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT:
means a development that:

- A. is used primarily for commercial or industrial activities;
- B. is developed by a single developer, including successors, under a common development plan and may include phased development;
- C. consists solely of land that is contiguous;
- D. holds itself out to the public as a common development through signs and other marketing efforts; and received planning approval as described above.

12-1-4 UNIFIED COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT SIGN:

- A. a sign erected within an approved unified commercial development;
- B. a sign erected within the outdoor advertising corridor; and
- C. a sign that advertises only the brands, logos, or trade names of businesses, products, services, and events that are available to the public at facilities on parcels within the boundaries of the unified commercial development.

The notice requirements for a Unified Commercial Development sign in 2009 City Code were:

12-3-3 U. Hearing and notice procedures for modifying sign regulations.

A. Prior to any hearing or public meeting to consider a proposed land use regulation or land use application modifying sign regulations for an illuminated sign within any unified commercial development, notice of the proposed illuminated sign shall be given to:

- 1. each property owner within a 500-foot radius of the sign site;
- 2. a municipality or county within a 500-foot radius of the sign site; and
- 3. any outdoor advertising permit holder described in Utah Code Subsection 72-7-506(2)(b);
- 4. the notice shall include the schedule of public meetings at which the proposed changes will be discussed.

B. The City shall require the property owner or applicant to commence in good faith the construction of the commercial or industrial development within one year after the installation of the illuminated sign.

Section 13-7-D-7B, required that prior to approving a text amendment the City Council must make the following findings:

Criteria 1: *The proposed amendment conforms to the general plan and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies described therein;*

Discussion: The General Plan supports keeping ordinances relevant and understandable. On Page 19 it states:

“GOAL 2. CONTINUALLY AND CONSISTENTLY UPDATE THE FUTURE LAND USE MAP, ZONING MAP, AND ZONING ORDINANCE FOR EASE OF REFERENCE AND ADMINISTRATION.

Policy 3. The Zoning Ordinance shall be updated to incorporate necessary changes that are consistent with State Code, and reflect the best and most current land use practices of the time. Zoning Ordinance modifications and updates shall be made easy for the general public and City administration to understand.

Finding: The proposed amendment conforms to the General Plan and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives and policies described therein.

Criteria 2: *The proposed amendment is appropriate given the context of the request and there is sufficient justification for a modification to this title;*

Discussion: The proposed amendment will keep the City harmonious with State Law.

Finding: The proposed amendment was appropriate given the context of the request and there was sufficient justification for a modification to this title;

Criteria 3: *The proposed amendment will not create a conflict with any other section or part of this title or the general plan; and*

Discussion: No conflict would be created based on the definitions proposed.

Finding: The proposed amendment would not create a conflict with any other section or part of the Municipal Code or the General Plan.

Criteria 4: *The proposed amendment does not relieve a particular hardship, nor does it confer any special privileges to a single property owner or cause, and it is only necessary to make a modification to this title in light of corrections or changes in public policy.*

Discussion: The draft ordinance as written will not relieve a particular hardship or grant special privileges to any one person or entity. There are not any current or proposed applications for this type of use at this time. It is only necessary to make the modification to 2009 City Code in light of corrections or changes in public policy.

Finding: The proposed amendment did not relieve a particular hardship, nor did it confer any special privileges to a single property owner or cause, and it was only necessary to make a modification to this title(s) in light of corrections or changes in public policy.

Responding to Councilmember McConnehey, Mr. Gardner explained that “developer”

was a generic term for one who was developing property, either currently or previously. Mr. Langford mentioned that the Code had specific definitions for “developer” and “development”.

The Council and staff discussed billboard restrictions. Mr. Gardner emphasized that the proposed ordinance would only change noticing requirements. Mr. Wall briefly described situations that had led to the legislation in question.

Councilmember Jacob asked what it was about Jordan Landing that made it subject to the restrictions, when other commercial developments in the City were not. Mr. Gardner responded that other commercial developments might be subject to them as well.

Mayor Riding opened the public hearing. Seeing that no one wished to speak, Mayor Riding closed the public hearing.

MOTION: Councilmember McConnehey moved to adopt Ordinance 19-30, amending the 2009 West Jordan Municipal Code Sections 12-1-4 “Definitions” and 12-23-3 “Sign Permits.” Councilmember Anderson seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND CONSIDER FOR APPROVAL AN ORDINANCE (UNNUMBERED) AMENDING THE 2009 WEST JORDAN MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 13-6, TO INCLUDE AN INTERCHANGE OVERLAY ZONE

A motion to table this issue was needed.

MOTION: Councilmember Whitelock made a motion to table this issue to November 13, 2019. Councilmember Anderson seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes

Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

VI. CONSENT ITEMS

- a. **Approve the minutes of September 25, 2019 and October 9, 2019 as presented**
- b. **Approve Resolution 19-183, confirming the appointment of an individual to fill a vacancy on the Healthy West Jordan committee**
- c. **Adopt Ordinance 19-31, Amending Title 3, “Revenue, Finance and Taxation”**
- d. **Adopt Ordinance 19-32, amending and renumbering the 2009 West Jordan Municipal Code Title 1, Section 1-3-2 (Definitions), Chapter 5 (Form of Government), Chapter 6 (City Council), and Article 6A (Council Candidates and Newly Elected Council Member) regarding the change to the Council-Mayor Form of Municipal Government**
- e. **Approve Resolution 19-184, recertifying the West Jordan Justice Court as required by State statute**
- f. **Approve Resolution 19-185, providing clarification that the new Community Arts Center is to be generally located at the Sugar Factory site, allowing for the area located southwest of the rodeo grounds and east of the outdoor pool to be evaluated for design**
- g. **Approve Resolution 19-186, authorizing the Mayor to approve a grant agreement with the Cultural Arts Society of West Jordan**

The City Council pulled items 6f and 6g for further discussion.

MOTION: Councilmember Anderson moved to approve Consent Items 6a through 6e. Councilmember Whitelock seconded the motion.

Councilmember McConnehey expressed appreciation to Duncan Murray for his work on Consent Item 6d.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
-------------------------------	------------

Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

VII. CONSENT ITEMS DISCUSSION

6F

APPROVE RESOLUTION 19-185, PROVIDING CLARIFICATION THAT THE NEW COMMUNITY ARTS CENTER IS TO BE GENERALLY LOCATED AT THE SUGAR FACTORY SITE, ALLOWING FOR THE AREA LOCATED SOUTHWEST OF THE RODEO GROUNDS AND EAST OF THE OUTDOOR POOL TO BE EVALUATED FOR DESIGN

Councilmember Lamb commented that the Council had not discussed the official name of the facility to be built. He indicated that he liked the new location of the Arts Center, and strongly suggested the City update the master plan for Veteran's Memorial Park. He said he felt the proposed facility was a good-sized theater for what the City was trying to accomplish.

Councilmember McConnehey agreed that the parks master plan should be updated. He expressed support for the new Arts Center location and emphasized that a decision made by vote of the Council should only be changed by an additional vote of the Council.

Mayor Riding reported that the \$2 Million funding from Salt Lake County for the Arts Center had been approved.

MOTION: Councilmember McConnehey moved to approve Resolution 19-185 and to direct staff to proceed with updates to the Veteran's Memorial Park Master Plan. Councilmember Whitelock seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

6G

APPROVE RESOLUTION 19-186, AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO APPROVE A GRANT AGREEMENT WITH THE CULTURAL ARTS SOCIETY OF WEST JORDAN

Danyce Steck explained that in the adoption of the FY20 budget, the City Council appropriated \$66,150 to be granted to the Cultural Arts Society of West Jordan (CASWJ). This agreement established what the City expected of CASWJ in terms of the minimum number of performances and events CASWJ would put on for the West Jordan community, insurance requirements, and a requirement to indemnify and protect the City. The term of the agreement was for ten years.

The agreement did not establish the annual grant amount, as that would be determined by the City Council each year as part of the budget process. The adoption of the agreement would allow the City to proceed with the distribution of the funds appropriated in the budget.

As a side note, in the agreement, the list of performances and event types that CASWJ would produce was a minimum amount. It was the wish and full expectation of CASWJ that they would put on several more performances and events than were specifically included in the agreement.

Councilmember Whitelock requested that related financial information be presented to the Council in March, prior to the budget process.

Councilmember Lamb inquired about distribution of the funds after they were provided to the Cultural Arts Society, specifically to the youth theater program. Staff responded that the agreement required that the Cultural Arts Society provide for at least two performances from the youth theater. The Council could provide input to the Cultural Arts Society regarding distribution of the funds when financial statements were presented as part of the budget process.

Councilmember McConnehey referred to language in the agreement that stated: "The City may provide an annual grant to the Arts Society as is appropriated in the City's annual budget." He emphasized the opinion that a separate public hearing should be held to discuss the funds and how they were to be used, outside of the budget process.

Councilmember Jacob expressed a desire to better understand the terms of the relationship between the Cultural Arts Society and the City. He said it was his understanding that the Cultural Arts Society functioned separately from the City, and the Council did not have the ability to dictate how funds were spent by the Cultural Arts Society. Councilmember Whitelock said she believed the Council had a responsibility to direct how taxpayer dollars were spent.

Councilmember Anderson suggested the Cultural Arts Society should annually present a list of specific events and performances to be funded, just like other entities that requested funding from the City.

Councilmember Burton said he looked at the arrangement more like a contract than a grant. He pointed out that the Cultural Arts Society had to look for additional sources of funding outside of City funds to meet its goals.

Councilmember McConnehey suggested changing the language to: “The City may provide an annual grant to the Arts Society as is appropriated in the City’s annual budget and following a public hearing, which is to be held independent from any other budget hearing.” He also suggested removing the requirements in Section 3(a) in favor of an annual presentation from the Arts Society.

Councilmember Anderson agreed with Councilmember McConnehey, and said he believed viewing the arrangement as a contract would pose problems with appropriate procurement policies.

Councilmember Jacob asked staff how the agreement differed from a contract. Mr. Wall responded that the arrangement could be classified as a sole source relationship. Mr. Wall also pointed out that a grant was a form of contract.

Danyce Steck indicated the agreement was intended to outline the City’s expectation of the 501(c)(3). She agreed with the suggestion to move the award process from June to March. The entity should report what they had accomplished and outline their request for the coming budget year.

MOTION: Councilmember Burton moved to approve Resolution 19-186. Mayor Riding seconded the motion.

SUBSTITUTE

MOTION: Councilmember Whitelock moved to table Resolution 19-186 and allow staff to incorporate requested changes, returning the item to the Council at a future date.

Councilmember McConnehey commented that a substitute motion should be used to clarify an original motion. Councilmember Whitelock withdrew the substitute motion.

Councilmember McConnehey said he was opposed to the original motion and said he would like to see modifications made prior to approval. Councilmember Jacob agreed. Mayor Riding expressed agreement and withdrew his second to the original motion. The original motion then failed for lack of second.

MOTION: Councilmember Anderson moved to table Resolution 19-186 to the next City Council meeting in order to address the suggested changes. Those changes included the CASWJ presenting their grant request in March of each year and holding a separate public hearing prior to award of the grant each year. Councilmember McConnehey seconded the motion.

Councilmember McConnehey commented that he would like the motion to include removal of Section 3(a) of the agreement, with a requirement that the Arts Society annually present a detailed request in March.

Ms. Steck again agreed with the suggested annual funding request and holding a separate public hearing in March or April during budget preparation.

AMENDED

MOTION: Councilmember Anderson moved to table Resolution 19-186 to the next City Council meeting in order to address the noted changes, specifying a separate public hearing to be held in March and revising section 3(a) to require annual presentation of a more detailed request by the CASWJ. Councilmember McConnehey seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

Mayor Riding moved to take a ten minute break. Councilmember McConnehey seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

The meeting recessed at 7:35 p.m., and reconvened at 7:44 p.m.

VIII. WORKSHOP

**UPDATE FROM THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE REGARDING
SEPTEMBER WATER CONSERVATION CONFERENCE.**

Rob Bennett, chair of West Jordan's Sustainability Committee introduced Zachary Frankel, Executive Director of the Utah Rivers Council. Mr. Bennett presented data regarding water usage and water conservation options.

Councilmember Lamb commented that water usage often decreased when water rates increased. Mr. Frankel talked about cost savings over time from updating indoor fixtures and appliances. He stated education was a key component of conservation.

Responding to a question from Councilmember Burton, Mr. Frankel said there were not yet any State grants available for leak repair for individual users.

Councilmember Burton pointed out that the Jordan Valley Water Conservancy Garden held classes for low-water-use landscaping.

Councilmember Jacob requested that the Sustainability Committee provide a matrix of City landscaping requirements in each zone comparing West Jordan's requirements to those in municipalities with even drier climates, such as St. George and Las Vegas.

Councilmember Anderson suggested the City's water rate tiers should be revised, with tiers added for heavier usage. He expressed a desire for the City to more actively support water conservation.

Mayor Riding commented that Lyle Summers from the Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District Board of Trustees would address the City Council on December 4th.

DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE (UNNUMBERED) AMENDING AND RENUMBERING TITLE 1 OF THE 2009 WEST JORDAN MUNICIPAL CODE, CHAPTER 7 (MAYOR) AND CHAPTER 8 (MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, INCLUDING DEPARTMENTS); AND REPEALING TITLE 1, ARTICLE 7D (CITY MANAGER); REGARDING THE CHANGE TO THE COUNCIL-MAYOR FORM OF GOVERNMENT

The City Council and staff discussed various amendments to the proposed language at great length.

There was an extensive discussion regarding the language pertaining to the Mayor's work hours.

It was determined that the Mayor's salary should be discussed at a regular City Council meeting. Councilmember McConnehey suggested the item should be addressed at the next council meeting-- November 13, 2019.

DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE (UNNUMBERED), AMENDING AND RENUMBERING THE 2009 WEST JORDAN MUNICIPAL CODE, TITLE 1, CHAPTER 8, ARTICLES A THROUGH J INCLUSIVE (EXECUTIVE BRANCH DEPARTMENT); AND REPEALING CERTAIN OTHER RELATED PROVISIONS REGARDING THE CHANGE TO THE COUNCIL-MAYOR FORM OF GOVERNMENT

The City Council and staff had an in-depth discussion regarding the proposed language of this ordinance.

The Council took a brief recess beginning at 10:10 p.m. The workshop resumed at 10:16 p.m.

DISCUSSION REGARDING AN ORDINANCE (UNNUMBERED), AMENDING AND RENUMBERING THE 2009 WEST JORDAN MUNICIPAL CODE, TITLE 1, CHAPTER 11 (ETHICS FOR ALL CITY

OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES) AND ARTICLE 11A (ETHICS FOR ELECTED OFFICIALS); AND REPEALING CHAPTER 12 (EMPLOYEE DISCHARGE APPEAL BOARD); REGARDING THE CHANGE TO THE COUNCIL-MAYOR FORM OF MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT

The City Council and staff discussed the amendment and renumbering of this portion of Title 1. It was determined that it would be worthwhile to approve what was immediately necessary in preparation for the change in the form of government, but to have the legal staff explore the minutiae of the code in more detail over the next several months before re-addressing the entire ethics code.

Mr. Wall suggested that legal staff forward the language from the State code to the City Council before having an additional discussion regarding the City's Ethics Code. Mayor Riding suggested that the Council follow Mr. Wall's recommendation.

IX. CLOSED SESSION

DISCUSSION OF THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL; STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION AND STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE, OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING ANY FORM OF A WATER RIGHT OR WATER SHARES

COUNCIL: Mayor Jim Riding, and Council Members Alan Anderson, Dirk Burton, Zach Jacob, Chad R. Lamb, Chris McConnehey and Kayleen Whitelock.

STAFF: David R. Brickey, City Manager and Rob Wall, City Attorney.

MOTION: Councilmember Jacob moved to go into a Closed Session for a discussion of the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, a strategy session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation and a strategy session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, including any form of a water right or water shares, following a brief recess, and adjourn from there. Councilmember Burton seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

The City Council meeting recessed at 10:45 p.m. and convened a closed session at 10:47 p.m.

STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION

- 1) 10:47 p.m. to 10:58 p.m.
- 2) 10:59 p.m. 11:03 p.m.

DISCUSSION OF THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL

- 1) 11:03 pm to 11:31 p.m.
- 2) 11:31 p.m. to 11:35 p.m.

STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING ANY FORM OF A WATER RIGHT OR WATER SHARES

No property matters were discussed

X. ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 11:35 p.m.

The content of the minutes is not intended, nor are they submitted, as a verbatim transcription of the meeting. These minutes are a brief overview of what occurred at the meeting.

JIM RIDING
Mayor

ATTEST:

JAMIE BROOKS
Interim City Clerk

Approved this 13th day of November 2019