

**MINUTES OF THE CITY OF WEST JORDAN
CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Wednesday, September 11, 2019

4:45 p.m.

Council Chambers

8000 South Redwood Road

West Jordan, Utah 84088

COUNCIL: Mayor Jim Riding, and Council Members Alan Anderson, Dirk Burton, Zach Jacob, Chad R. Lamb, Chris M. McConnehey, and Kayleen Whitelock.

STAFF: David R. Brickey, City Manager; Korban Lee, Assistant City Manager; Rob Wall, City Attorney; Scott Langford, Community Development Director; Danyce Steck, Finance Director; Brock Hudson, Community Preservation Director; Brian Clegg, Public Works Director; Derek Maxfield, Fire Chief; Ken Wallentine; Ray McCandless, Senior Planner; Tauni Barker, Communications and Events Manager; and Jamie Brooks, Interim City Clerk.

TOUR OF THE WILD WEST PLAYGROUND

The Council and some staff met at the Wild West Jordan Playground to assess project progress at 4:45 p.m.

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Riding called the meeting to order at 5:32 p.m.

MOMENT OF SILENCE

II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Josh Nickelman.

III. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jenifer Dinkelman of West Jordan Pony Baseball, presented information regarding the ways in which her organization had provided service to the City in lieu of fees, including park maintenance and cleaning up after the rodeo.

Linda Johnson, West Jordan resident, expressed concern about increased water rates and the impact on those with fixed incomes. She expressed disappointment that the City found funds for a new playground but chose to increase water rates.

Jay Thomas, President of the West Jordan Exchange Club, reported that a recent West Jordan Exchange Club scholarship recipient went on to win regional and national scholarship competitions. Mr. Thomas recognized the sponsorship of Dannon Yogurt.

Melissa Worthen, West Jordan resident, recalled waiting on 9/11/01 to hear from her brother who was working in the Pentagon on that day eighteen years ago. She asked that everyone express appreciation to first responders who served on that day, as well as those who serve every day in the community.

There was no one else who wished to speak.

IV. COMMUNICATIONS
CITY MANAGER & STAFF COMMENTS/REPORTS

Korban Lee-

- Updated the Council regarding a potential annexation and requested direction regarding adjacent unincorporated land. He stated the County was not interested in creating an unincorporated “island”. Councilmember Jacob expressed support for considering the annexation.

Scott Langford-

- Informed the Council of a planning conference scheduled to take place in West Jordan on October 3-4, 2019. He invited them to attend.

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS/REPORTS

Councilmember Anderson-

- Said he wished there were another way to deal with the cost of water infrastructure maintenance, and stated he was committed to finding long-term solutions.

Councilmember Jacob-

- Recalled September 11, 2001, and the unity felt in the days and weeks that followed.

Councilmember Whitelock-

- Responded to the comment about the water rate increase and the new playground by pointing out that some of the City’s funds were restricted to specific uses. She said she and her fellow Council members understood and felt the strain placed on personal budgets, but also understood the need to fund necessary infrastructure and maintenance.

Councilmember Lamb-

- Read aloud a list of businesses that had moved into West Jordan and disagreed with a comment he had heard that businesses were only *leaving* West Jordan.

Councilmember Burton-

- Reported on a Utah League of Cities and Towns (ULCT) meeting.

Councilmember McConnehey-

- Spoke of the American ability to weather a storm and look forward, move forward, and make the world a better place. He said he would like the opportunity as a Council to discuss resources for the Storm Water Department.
- Said he did not support the current water billing structure and that he would like the Council to have a different rate structure prepared and in place before the end of the year.
- Said he appreciated that Ms. Dinkelman took the time to appear and thank the Council for allowing services in lieu of fees.

Mayor Riding-

- Spoke of businesses relocating to West Jordan.

V. PUBLIC HEARINGS

RECEIVE PUBLIC INPUT AND CONSIDER FOR ADOPTION ORDINANCE 19-26, AMENDING THE GENERAL PLAN LAND USE MAP FOR 12.084 ACRES FROM PUBLIC FACILITIES TO COMMUNITY COMMERCIAL AND REZONE FOR P-F (PUBLIC FACILITIES) ZONE TO C-M (HEAVY COMMERCIAL) ZONE; WEST RIDGE ACADEMY/JOHN STOHLTON, APPLICANT

Ray McCandless stated the applicant was requesting that two pieces of property that were currently part of the West Ridge Academy be rezoned from Public Facilities (P-F) to Heavy Commercial (C-M) and that the Future Land Use Map in the General Plan be amended from Public Facilities to Community Commercial to reflect the proposed zoning. These two pieces of property were located at 5500 West Bagley Park Road.

The Planning Commission held a public hearing on this item on July 16, 2019. In a 5-2 vote, the Planning Commission recommended that the City Council deny the request for reasons stated in the attached meeting minutes (Exhibit H).

These properties were located on the south and west sides of the West Ridge Academy. Both properties fronted onto Bagley Park Road. The west property was 5 acres and the East property was 7 acres for a combined total of 12 acres. The applicant intended to subdivide these properties into several lots sometime in the future.

The subject properties surrounding zoning and land uses were as follows:

	Future Land Use	Zoning	Existing Land Use
North	Public Facilities	P-F	Boys and Girls Ranch
South	Public Facilities	M-1	Industrial
West	Industrial	M-1	Industrial
East	Medium Density Residential	R-1-10D	Single-Family Residential

Section 13-7C-6: Amendments to the Land Use Map

In considering a General Plan Future Land Use Map Amendment, the City Council shall make the following findings:

Finding A: The proposed amendment conforms to and is consistent with the adopted goals, objectives, and policies set forth in the City General Plan.

Discussion: As previously noted, the applicant was proposing to amend the Future Land Use Map from Public Facilities to Community Commercial and rezone the property from P-F (Public Facilities) to C-M (Heavy Commercial) to accommodate two future industrial subdivisions. This property was currently part of the Westridge Academy. It was anticipated that this property would eventually be used for expansion of the Academy; however, the land was no longer needed and was proposed to be converted into heavy commercial property.

The C-M zoning district as noted in the following definition was considered a transitional zone between commercial and light industrial land uses. It could also serve as a transitional zone between residential and industrial:

“The heavy commercial (C-M) zone is established to provide an area in which a congruous mixture of retail, commercial warehousing, heavy commercial and light manufacturing uses may be located. The zone is to be used primarily as a transitional zone between industrial areas and residential areas and/or lighter commercial districts. The zone is also intended to provide for the location of manufacturing and warehousing operations that are operated in conjunction with and incidental to a retail commercial establishment. The uses characteristic of this zone will be warehouses, light manufacturing or assembly plants, machine shops, automobile repair facilities, furniture and appliance repair, tire recapping, and building materials stores and yards. Buildings and grounds that combine utility and attractive appearance should characterize the C-M zone. The utility of buildings, parking areas and loading facilities should be softened with landscaped areas along all street frontages.”

The General Plan stated the following:

“Manufacturing, processing, warehousing, distribution, and similar functions should be sufficiently buffered from incompatible land uses, especially residential areas.” (Page 48)

Uses found in the City’s manufacturing zoning districts commonly emitted noise, odor and use hazardous materials which could create problems when located next to residential uses. Given these goals, a Light Industrial designation (which was the most intensive land use designation on the Future Land Use Map) would not be appropriate for this site. In staff’s view, the applicant’s request for Community Commercial would be the most appropriate designation for this property.

Finding: The proposed amendment conformed to and was consistent with the adopted goals, objectives, and policies set forth in the City General Plan.

Finding B: *The development pattern contained on the land use plan inadequately provides the appropriate optional sites for the use and/or change proposed in the amendment.*

Discussion: Page 54 of the General Plan States the following:

“The primary goal in determining future land uses is to determine future development patterns which build upon already existing and established patterns. It is also meant to provide for effective and sustainable uses of land in a way that best promotes compatibility between those uses in order to maintain the integrity of the community.”

Bagley Park Road currently served as the boundary between the industrial uses to the south and the Westridge Academy. Although in one regard, it would make sense to have the same land use designation on both sides of the street, the greater concern was moving industrial land uses closer to established residential areas. Changing the land use designation from Public Facilities to Community Commercial promoted better compatibility between established land uses than would an industrial land use designation.

There were other areas in the City that were designated as Community Commercial. However, the Community Commercial designation on this property was appropriate as it served as a transition between industrial and residential land uses.

Finding: Although there were other optional locations in the City where Community Commercial could be located, a Community Commercial land use designation at this location was appropriate as the adjoining land uses were already established. A Community Commercial land use designation would serve as a transition between these uses.

Finding C: *The proposed amendment will be compatible with other land uses, existing or planned, in the vicinity.*

Discussion: As noted in Finding B above, the amendment would improve the compatibility with the adjoining residential land uses and would serve as a buffer between the existing industrial area and the future residential neighborhood (Boulder Ranch Subdivision) to the north.

Finding: The proposed amendment was compatible with other land uses, existing or planned, in the vicinity.

Finding D: *The proposed amendment constitutes an overall improvement to the adopted general land use map and is not solely for the good or benefit of a particular person or entity.*

Discussion: The proposed amendment would be an improvement to the Future Land Use map for the reasons previously described. Although both the Westridge Academy and future property owner would benefit from the proposed amendment, designating the property as Community Commercial on the Future Land Use Map would benefit the City as a whole.

Finding: The proposed amendment constituted an overall improvement to the adopted general land use map and was not solely for the good or benefit of a particular person or entity.

Finding E: *The proposed amendment will not adversely impact the neighborhood and community as a whole by significantly altering acceptable land use patterns and requiring larger and more expensive public infrastructure improvements, including, but not limited to, roads, water, wastewater and public safety facilities, than would otherwise be needed without the proposed change.*

Discussion: The proposed amendment will not adversely impact the neighborhood by allowing the more intensive heavy commercial land uses to encroach closer to residential uses. The infrastructure in the area is adequate for the proposed development including roads, water, wastewater and public safety facilities. The properties will connect directly to Bagley Park Road.

Finding: The proposed amendment would not adversely impact the neighborhood and community as a whole by significantly altering acceptable land use patterns and requiring larger and more expensive public infrastructure improvements, including, but not limited to, roads, water, wastewater and public safety facilities, than would otherwise be needed without the proposed change.

Finding F: *The proposed amendment is consistent with other adopted plans, codes and ordinances.*

Discussion: The amendment was reviewed for consistency with the City's General Plan, the zoning ordinance and adopted engineering standards. As noted above, the proposed amendment is consistent with the goals or policies of the General Plan.

Finding: The proposed amendment was consistent with other adopted plans, codes and ordinances.

Section 13-7D-7(A): Amendments to the Zoning Map

Prior to recommending approval of an amendment to the Zoning Map, the City Council shall make the following findings:

Criteria 1: *The proposed amendment is consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives, and policies of the City's General Plan.*

Discussion: The C-M zone was defined as follows:

This was discussed in Finding A of the Amendments to the Land Use Map section of this report.

Finding: The proposed amendment was consistent with purposes, goals, objectives and policies of the City's General Plan.

Criteria 2: *The proposed amendment will result in compatible land use relationships and does not adversely affect adjacent properties.*

Discussion: This was discussed in Finding C of the Amendments to the Land Use Map section of this report. The Planning Commission disagreed with Staff on this finding. Several members of the Planning Commission felt that rezoning the property to a C-M zoning district would adversely affect adjacent properties.

Finding: The proposed amendment would result in compatible land use relationships and might adversely affect adjacent properties.

Criteria 3: *The proposed amendment furthers the public health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the city.*

Discussion: Bringing land uses which generate noise, odors, heavy truck traffic and use hazardous materials closer to established and future residential land uses does not further public health or safety. For this reason, the C-M zone is appropriate for this property as it does not allow industrial uses that generate noise, odors, heavy truck traffic or the use of hazardous materials.

Finding: The proposed amendment furthered the public health, safety and general welfare of the citizens of the city.

Criteria 4: *The proposed amendment will not unduly impact the adequacy of public services and facilities intended to serve the subject zoning area and property than would otherwise be needed without the proposed change, such as, but not limited to, police and fire protection, water, sewer and roadways.*

Discussion: The Engineering Department had determined that the City had the ability to service the development with water (with system upgrades) sewer, streets and storm drainage subject to developer constructed improvements at the time of subdivision plat approval. The Fire Department would review the proposed development at the time of subdivision and site plan application to ensure full serviceability.

Finding: The proposed amendment would not unduly impact the adequacy of public services and facilities intended to serve the subject zoning area and property than would otherwise be needed without the proposed change, such as, but not limited to, police and fire protection, water, sewer and roadways.

Criteria 5: *The proposed amendment is consistent with the provisions of any applicable overlay zoning districts which may impose additional standards.*

Discussion: The property is in the Drinking Water Source Protection Overlay Zone. Industrial land uses may require conditional use approval to mediate any potential groundwater contamination issues. Ground water protection will be addressed when the property is developed.

Finding: The proposed amendment if approved might trigger conditional use approval for uses within the Drinking Water Source Protection Overlay Zone to mediate any potential groundwater contamination concerns.

Staff supported the proposed Land Use Map or Zoning Map amendment associated with this request.

Mr. McCandless described different uses allowed in the C-M, M-P, and M-1 Zones. He said Staff met with the applicant to review uses and determined that C-M uses were most appropriate.

Mayor Riding opened the public hearing.

Janet Farnsworth, West Jordan resident and West Ridge Academy Executive Director, said West Ridge Academy was sensitive to sound and odor concerns, and had already turned down a potential buyer they did not feel fit the area.

No one else wished to speak so Mayor Riding closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Lamb thanked the applicant for being sensitive to concerns of neighboring property owners. He said he hoped a decision could be reached that would benefit both West Ridge Academy as well as neighboring properties.

Councilmember Anderson expressed a desire for all parties involved to be successful. Staff showed required easements on a map of the subject property and described buffer and transition requirements included in the City Code.

MOTION: Councilmember Burton moved to approve Ordinance 19-26 amending the General Plan Land Use Map for 12.084 acres from Public Facilities to Community Commercial and rezone for P-F (Public Facilities) Zone to C-M (Heavy Commercial) Zone. Councilmember Lamb seconded the motion.

Councilmember Jacob stated he was hesitant to approve the rezone without a specific tenant identified. Councilmember Whitelock agreed with Councilmember Jacob.

SUBSTITUTE

MOTION: Councilmember Whitelock moved to approve the rezone of Site 1 to C-M (Heavy Commercial) Zone and encourage the applicant to return to the Council regarding Site 2 once a tenant was identified. Councilmember Jacob seconded the motion.

Councilmember McConnehey asked if the applicant was amenable to the substitute motion.

John Stohlton, applicant, replied that he was not. He indicated that the property had been listed for sale for the past year with the current zoning. Potential buyers had indicated that they could not do anything with the property until zoning was changed.

Councilmember Jacob said in his experience it was most often the buyer of a property who applied for a zoning change.

Councilmember Burton stated he did not support the substitute motion.

A roll call vote was taken on the substitute motion

Councilmember Anderson	No
Councilmember Burton	No
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	No
Councilmember McConnehey	No
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	No

The substitute motion failed 2-5.

Councilmember Anderson asked if owners of the property adjacent to the second parcel were notified of the potential zone change. Scott Langford confirmed that all owners of property within 300 feet were notified.

Councilmember McConnehey said he had hoped to take a little additional time to find something that would work for all involved. He suggested form-based code would possibly have provided better options in this situation and said he would like a different tool for infill development as well as redevelopment. Councilmember McConnehey mentioned the risk that the specific use could change if the property were sold, a rezone approved, and the property changed ownership again before development occurred.

A roll call vote was taken on the original motion

Councilmember Anderson	No
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	No
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	No
Councilmember Whitelock	No
Mayor Riding	No

The motion failed 2-5.

VI. CONSENT ITEMS

- a. **Approve the minutes of July 31, 2019 and August 14, 2019 as presented**
- b. **Approve Resolution 19-164, authorizing the Mayor to sign the Utah Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Storm Water Program Report**
- c. **Approve Resolution 19-165, awarding a contract to Method Studio Inc. and authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract between the City and Method Studio Inc. for design services for the new West Jordan Community Center**
- d. **Approve Resolution 19-166, awarding a contract to ZPSCO Contractors, Inc. and authorize the Mayor to execute a contract with ZPSCO Contractors, Inc. for artificial tur for the Wild West Jordan Playground**
- e. **Approve Resolution 19-167, awarding a contract to Acme Construction, Inc. and authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract with Acme Construction, Inc for the Veterans Memorial Park Pony Ballfield project**
- f. **Approve Resolution 19-168, approving Federal Aid Agreement Modification No. 1 and authorizing the Mayor to execute the Agreement between UDOT and West Jordan City for the Jordan River Trail Gardner Village Trax Station Project, in an amount not to exceed \$561,785.00**
- g. **Approve Resolution 19-169, authorizing the Mayor to execute a Right-of-Way Purchase Agreement between Bert F. Coester, Trustee, or his**

Successors in Trust, under the Bert F. Coester Living Trust, dated February 3, 2012 and the City of West Jordan

- h. Approve Resolution 19-170, awarding a contract to G. Brown Design and authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract with G. Brown Design, Inc. to provide architectural, engineering, design and construction management services for the Maple Hills Park project**

The Council wished to pull items 6c and 6d for further discussion.

MOTION: Councilmember McConnehey made a motion to approve all Consent Items except 6c and 6d. Councilmember Burton seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

VII. CONSENT ITEMS DISCUSSION

6C

APPROVE RESOLUTION 19-165, AWARDING A CONTRACT TO METHOD STUDIO, INC. FOR DESIGN SERVICES FOR THE NEW WEST JORDAN COMMUNITY CENTER

Staff explained that on July 10, 2019 the City accepted proposals from architecture firms for design services on the new West Jordan Community Center. Seven firms submitted proposals. In accordance with the RFP documentation, the first stage of review was done that reviewed proposals based upon Experience and Qualifications, Demonstrated Understanding of the Project, Scope of Work to Deliver the Desired Final Construction Project, Proposed Schedule, and Cost Proposal. The top three firms were invited for Stage 2 review which included a presentation to several staff members. Those presentations were reviewed on Design & Project Ideas, References & Qualifications of the Team, and Understanding of the Project.

Based upon the review of the proposals and the presentations, staff recommended approval of the contract with Method Studio Inc.

Councilmember Whitelock said she thought it would be good for a couple of Council members to be involved in the proposed working committee. She suggested that if a work session was necessary for Council input, that it be held on a night other than a regular

Council meeting night to allow sufficient time.

Councilmember McConnehey described some of the history behind the project, including the fact that the previous cost estimate continued to increase at each step in the process, and said he was reticent to approve the proposed contract. Korban Lee confirmed that the contract amount was specified as not to exceed \$350,000, to be accrued on an hourly basis.

Councilmember Burton expressed a preference for the word “Arts” to be included in the official title of the facility. Councilmember Anderson said he felt the priority should be to not deviate from the plan to create a simple, 250-seat facility. Mr. Lee explained that the term “Community Center” was used in the RFP to try to bring in a wider array of architects. He commented that the budgeted amount would dictate a fairly simple design. Mr. Lee said representatives of the County had confirmed to him that the grant previously awarded for the facility at the previous location would still be available for the facility at the new location.

Councilmember McConnehey said he would support moving forward as long as two Council members and the Mayor were involved in the proposed working committee. Mayor Riding agreed with the idea to include two Council members on the working committee to watch the process. However, he cautioned Council members that although they each had ideas of how things should look, some of those ideas might significantly add to the cost and length of the project. Councilmember McConnehey said he was more concerned with getting the project right than sticking with the timeline. Councilmember Anderson expressed support for “getting it right” as long as the project stayed within the budget.

MOTION: Councilmember Burton moved to adopt Resolution 19-165, awarding a contract to Method Studio Inc. and authorizing the Mayor to execute a contract between the City and Method Studio Inc. for design services for the new West Jordan Community Arts Center, with a standing committee including two Council members and the Mayor. Councilmember Lamb seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	No
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 6-1.

6D

APPROVE RESOLUTION 19-166, TO AWARD A CONTRACT TO ZPSCO CONTRACTORS, INC AND AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO EXECUTE SAID CONTRACT FOR ARTIFICIAL TURF FOR THE WILD WEST JORDAN PLAYGROUND PROJECT

Brian Clegg explained that as part of the overall playground project, an area of approximately 3,000 sq.-ft. of artificial turf was designated inside the proposed fence area. This bid was to provide the artificial turf for that area. The City of West Jordan received two bids, with ZPSCO Contractors, Inc. being the lowest responsible bidder at a price of \$33,750.00.

Responding to a question from Councilmember Burton, Mr. Clegg stated the artificial turf would get warm on hot summer days, but not any warmer than the playground or surrounding concrete. Artificial turf was chosen because of the amount of use anticipated for the playground.

MOTION: Councilmember McConnehey made a motion to approve Resolution 19-166, awarding a contract to ZPSCO Contractors, Inc. and authorize the Mayor to execute said contract for artificial turf for the Wild West Jordan Playground project. Councilmember Anderson seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

VIII. WORKSHOP

UPDATE ON THE WILD WEST PLAYGROUND AND THE PROPOSED OPENING DATE

Brian Clegg updated the Council on the Wild West Jordan Playground construction and stated the proposed opening date was October 17, 2019.

Mayor Riding, Councilmember McConnehey, and Councilmember Whitelock expressed interest in being on the Community Arts Center working committee.

Councilmember Whitelock thanked Danyce Steck for the monthly financial statement provided to the Council.

IX. CLOSED SESSION

DISCUSSION OF THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL; STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION AND STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE, OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING ANY FORM OF A WATER RIGHT OR WATER SHARES

COUNCIL: Mayor Jim Riding, and Council Members Alan Anderson, Dirk Burton, Zach Jacob, Chad R. Lamb, Chris M. McConnehey, and Kayleen Whitelock.

STAFF: David R. Brickey, City Manager; Korban Lee, Assistant City Manager, and Rob Wall, City Attorney.

MOTION: Councilmember Anderson moved to go into a Closed Session for a discussion of the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual, a strategy session to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation and a strategy session to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property, including any form of a water right or water shares, following a brief recess, and adjourn from there. Councilmember McConnehey seconded the motion.

A roll call vote was taken

Councilmember Anderson	Yes
Councilmember Burton	Yes
Councilmember Jacob	Yes
Councilmember Lamb	Yes
Councilmember McConnehey	Yes
Councilmember Whitelock	Yes
Mayor Riding	Yes

The motion passed 7-0.

The Council recessed at 7:36 p.m. and convened into a Closed Session at 7:44 p.m.

DISCUSSION OF THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL

No such matters were discussed

STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS PENDING OR REASONABLY IMMINENT LITIGATION

- 1) 7:43 p.m. – 7:46 p.m.
- 2) 7:46 p.m. – 7:50 p.m.
- 3) 7:50 p.m. – 7:52 p.m.
- 4) 7:53 p.m. – 7:55 p.m.

5) 7:55 p.m. – 7:59 p.m.

STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE OR LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING ANY FORM OF A WATER RIGHT OR WATER SHARES

No such matters were discussed

X. ADJOURN

The meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

The content of the minutes is not intended, nor are they submitted, as a verbatim transcription of the meeting. These minutes are a brief overview of what occurred at the meeting.

JIM RIDING
Mayor

ATTEST:

JAMIE BROOKS, CMC
Interim City Clerk

Approved this 25th day of September 2019